arXiv:1511.02249v2 [math.GM] 26 Nov 2015

Tricomplex dynamical systems generated by polynomials of odd degree

Pierre-Olivier Parisé * Dominic Rochon†

Département de mathématiques et d'informatique
Université du Québec à Trois-Rivières
C.P. 500 Trois-Rivières, Québec
Canada, G9A 5H7

Abstract

In this article, we give the exact interval of the cross section of the *Multibrot* sets generated by the polynomial $z^p + c$ where z and c are complex numbers and $p > 2$ is an odd integer. Furthermore, we show that the same Multibrots defined on the hyperbolic numbers are always squares. Moreover, we give a generalized 3D version of the hyperbolic Multibrot set and prove that our generalization is an octahedron for a specific 3D slice of the tricomplex polynomial $\eta^p + c$ where $p > 2$ is an odd integer.

AMS subject classification: 37F50, 32A30, 30G35, 00A69
Keywords: Tricomplex dynamics, Multibrot, Hyperbrot, Genenalized Mandelbrot sets, Multicomplex numbers, 3D fractals

Introduction

Multicomplex dynamics appears for the first time in 2000 (see [1] and [2]). The author of these articles used a commutative generalization of complex numbers called the bicomplex numbers, denoted $\mathbb{M}(2)$, to extend the well known Mandelbrot set in four dimensions and to give a 3D version of it.

The Multibrot sets were first studied in [3] and [4]. Following these works, X.-y. Wang and W.-j. Song [5] extend to the bicomplex space the Multibrot sets defined as

$$\mathcal{M}^p := \left\{ c \in \mathbb{C} \mid \left\{ Q_{p,c}^m(0) \right\}_{m=1}^{\infty} \text{ is bounded} \right\} \tag{1}$$

*E-mail: Pierre-Olivier.Parise@uqtr.ca
†E-mail: Dominic.Rochon@UQTR.CA

where $Q_{p,c}(z) = z^p + c$. Recently, in [6], the authors introduce the tricomplex Multibrot sets. Based on an other work on the topic (see [7]), they proved some topological properties of the complex, hyperbolic and tricomplex Multibrot sets and studied the tricomplex Multibrot set generated by the polynomial $\eta^3 + c$ where η and c are tricomplex numbers. Particularly, they proved that the real intersection of the Multibrot set $\mathcal{M}^3$ is the interval $\left[-\frac{2}{2\sqrt{3}}, \frac{2}{2\sqrt{3}}\right]$. Their proof was based on the exact formula of the roots of the polynomial x^3-x+c. However, we know since Galois' work that no general formula expresses, in terms of the elementary operations, all the roots of a polynomial of degree greater or equal to 5.

In this article, we use another approach to find the real intersection of the Multibrot sets as it is conjectured in [6]:

$$\mathcal{M}^p \cap \mathbb{R} = \left[-\frac{p-1}{p^{p/(p-1)}}, \frac{p-1}{p^{p/(p-1)}}\right] \tag{2}$$

for any odd integer $p > 2$. We prove that this conjecture is true and has many consequences in tricomplex dynamics.

The article is separated into three sections. In the first section, we recall some basics of the theory of tricomplex numbers. In the second section, we introduce the tricomplex Multibrot sets where complex and hyperbolic dynamics are embedded. In the third section, we analyse the locus of the real roots of the polynomial $x^p - x + c$ for a given odd integer $p > 2$. Then, we apply those results to Multibrot sets to prove (2). Moreover, we prove that the Hyperbrot set defined as

$$\mathcal{H}^p := \left\{c \in \mathbb{D} \,|\, \left\{Q_{p,c}^m(0)\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty} \text{ is bounded}\right\} \tag{3}$$

where $\mathbb{D}$ is the set of hyperbolic numbers is a square when the degree $p > 2$ is an odd integer. Finally, we define the generalized 3D version of the Hyperbrot sets and prove that they are regular octahedrons.

1 Preliminaries

In this section, we begin by introducing the tricomplex space $\mathbb{M}(3)$. One may refer to [8], [9], [10] and [11] for more details on the next properties.

A tricomplex number η is composed of two coupled bicomplex numbers ζ_1, ζ_2 and an imaginary unit $\mathbf{i_3}$ such that

$$\eta = \zeta_1 + \zeta_2 \mathbf{i_3} \tag{4}$$

where $\mathbf{i_3}^2 = -1$. The set of such tricomplex numbers is denoted by $\mathbb{M}(3)$. Since $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in \mathbb{M}(2)$, we can write them as $\zeta_1 = z_1 + z_2\mathbf{i_2}$ and $\zeta_2 = z_3 + z_4\mathbf{i_2}$ where $z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 \in \mathbb{M}(1) \simeq \mathbb{C}$. In that way, (4) can be rewritten as

$$\eta = z_1 + z_2\mathbf{i_2} + z_3\mathbf{i_3} + z_4\mathbf{j_3} \tag{5}$$

$\cdot$	1	$\mathbf{i_1}$	$\mathbf{i_2}$	$\mathbf{i_3}$	$\mathbf{i_4}$	$\mathbf{j_1}$	$\mathbf{j_2}$	$\mathbf{j_3}$
1	1	$\mathbf{i_1}$	$\mathbf{i_2}$	$\mathbf{i_3}$	$\mathbf{i_4}$	$\mathbf{j_1}$	$\mathbf{j_2}$	$\mathbf{j_3}$
$\mathbf{i_1}$	$\mathbf{i_1}$	$-\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{j_1}$	$\mathbf{j_2}$	$-\mathbf{j_3}$	$-\mathbf{i_2}$	$-\mathbf{i_3}$	$\mathbf{i_4}$
$\mathbf{i_2}$	$\mathbf{i_2}$	$\mathbf{j_1}$	$-\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{j_3}$	$-\mathbf{j_2}$	$-\mathbf{i_1}$	$\mathbf{i_4}$	$-\mathbf{i_3}$
$\mathbf{i_3}$	$\mathbf{i_3}$	$\mathbf{j_2}$	$\mathbf{j_3}$	$-\mathbf{1}$	$-\mathbf{j_1}$	$\mathbf{i_4}$	$-\mathbf{i_1}$	$-\mathbf{i_2}$
$\mathbf{i_4}$	$\mathbf{i_4}$	$-\mathbf{j_3}$	$-\mathbf{j_2}$	$-\mathbf{j_1}$	$-\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{i_3}$	$\mathbf{i_2}$	$\mathbf{i_1}$
$\mathbf{j_1}$	$\mathbf{j_1}$	$-\mathbf{i_2}$	$-\mathbf{i_1}$	$\mathbf{i_4}$	$\mathbf{i_3}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$-\mathbf{j_3}$	$-\mathbf{j_2}$
$\mathbf{j_2}$	$\mathbf{j_2}$	$-\mathbf{i_3}$	$\mathbf{i_4}$	$-\mathbf{i_1}$	$\mathbf{i_2}$	$-\mathbf{j_3}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$-\mathbf{j_1}$
$\mathbf{j_3}$	$\mathbf{j_3}$	$\mathbf{i_4}$	$-\mathbf{i_3}$	$-\mathbf{i_2}$	$\mathbf{i_1}$	$-\mathbf{j_2}$	$-\mathbf{j_1}$	$\mathbf{1}$

Table 1: Products of tricomplex imaginary units

where $\mathbf{i_2}^2 = -1$, $\mathbf{i_2 i_3} = \mathbf{i_3 i_2} = \mathbf{j_3}$ and $\mathbf{j_3}^2 = 1$. Moreover, as z_1, z_2, z_3 and z_4 are complex numbers (in $\mathbf{i_1}$), we can write the number η in a third form as

$$\begin{aligned}\eta &= a + b\mathbf{i_1} + (c + d\mathbf{i_1})\mathbf{i_2} + (e + f\mathbf{i_1})\mathbf{i_3} + (g + h\mathbf{i_1})\mathbf{j_3} \\ &= a + b\mathbf{i_1} + c\mathbf{i_2} + d\mathbf{j_1} + e\mathbf{i_3} + f\mathbf{j_2} + g\mathbf{j_3} + h\mathbf{i_4} \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where $\mathbf{i_1}^2 = \mathbf{i_4}^2 = -1$, $\mathbf{i_4} = \mathbf{i_1 j_3} = \mathbf{i_1 i_2 i_3}$, $\mathbf{j_2} = \mathbf{i_1 i_3} = \mathbf{i_3 i_1}$, $\mathbf{j_2}^2 = 1$, $\mathbf{j_1} = \mathbf{i_1 i_2} = \mathbf{i_2 i_1}$ and $\mathbf{j_1}^2 = 1$. After ordering each term of (6), we get the following representations of the set of tricomplex numbers:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{M}(3) &:= \{\eta = \zeta_1 + \zeta_2\mathbf{i_3} \,|\, \zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in \mathbb{M}(2)\} \\ &= \{z_1 + z_2\mathbf{i_2} + z_3\mathbf{i_3} + z_4\mathbf{j_3} \,|\, z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 \in \mathbb{M}(1)\} \\ &= \{x_0 + x_1\mathbf{i_1} + x_2\mathbf{i_2} + x_3\mathbf{i_3} + x_4\mathbf{i_4} + x_5\mathbf{j_1} + x_6\mathbf{j_2} + x_7\mathbf{j_3} \\ &\qquad\qquad |\, x_i \in \mathbb{M}(0) = \mathbb{R} \text{ for } i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, 7\}. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Let $\eta_1 = \zeta_1 + \zeta_2\mathbf{i_3}$ and $\eta_2 = \zeta_3 + \zeta_4\mathbf{i_3}$ be two tricomplex numbers with $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \zeta_4 \in \mathbb{M}(2)$. We define the equality, the addition and the multiplication of two tricomplex numbers as

$$\eta_1 = \eta_2 \text{ iff } \zeta_1 = \zeta_3 \text{ and } \zeta_2 = \zeta_4 \tag{8}$$

$$\eta_1 + \eta_2 := (\zeta_1 + \zeta_3) + (\zeta_2 + \zeta_4)\mathbf{i_3} \tag{9}$$

$$\eta_1 \cdot \eta_2 := (\zeta_1\zeta_3 - \zeta_2\zeta_4) + (\zeta_1\zeta_4 + \zeta_2\zeta_3)\mathbf{i_3}. \tag{10}$$

Table 1 shows the results after multiplying each tricomplex imaginary unity two by two. The set of tricomplex numbers with addition $+$ and multiplication $\cdot$ forms a commutative ring with zero divisors.

A tricomplex number has a useful representation using the idempotent elements $\gamma_2 = \frac{1+\mathbf{j_3}}{2}$ and $\overline{\gamma}_2 = \frac{1-\mathbf{j_3}}{2}$. Recalling that $\eta = \zeta_1 + \zeta_2\mathbf{i_3}$ with $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in \mathbb{M}(2)$, the idempotent representation of η is

$$\eta = (\zeta_1 - \zeta_2\mathbf{i_2})\gamma_2 + (\zeta_1 + \zeta_2\mathbf{i_2})\overline{\gamma}_2. \tag{11}$$

The representation (11) of a tricomplex number allows to add and multiply tricomplex numbers term-by-term. In fact, we have the following theorem (see [8]):

Theorem 1. *Let $\eta_1 = \zeta_1 + \zeta_2\mathbf{i_3}$ and $\eta_2 = \zeta_3 + \zeta_4\mathbf{i_3}$ be two tricomplex numbers. Let $\eta_1 = u_1\gamma_2 + u_2\overline{\gamma}_2$ and $\eta_2 = u_3\gamma_2 + u_4\overline{\gamma}_2$ be the idempotent representation (11) of η_1 and η_2. Then,*

1. $\eta_1 + \eta_2 = (u_1 + u_3)\gamma_2 + (u_2 + u_4)\overline{\gamma}_2$;
2. $\eta_1 \cdot \eta_2 = (u_1 \cdot u_3)\gamma_2 + (u_2 \cdot u_4)\overline{\gamma}_2$;
3. $\eta_1^m = u_1^m\gamma_2 + u_2^m\overline{\gamma}_2 \ \forall m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Moreover, we define a $\mathbb{M}(3)$-*cartesian* set X of two subsets $X_1, X_2 \subseteq \mathbb{M}(2)$ as follows:

$$X = X_1 \times_{\gamma_2} X_2 := \{\eta = \zeta_1 + \zeta_2\mathbf{i_3} \in \mathbb{M}(3) \,|\, \eta = u_1\gamma_2 + u_2\overline{\gamma}_2, \\ u_1 \in X_1 \text{ and } u_2 \in X_2\}. \tag{12}$$

Let define the norm $\|\cdot\|_3 : \mathbb{M}(3) \to \mathbb{R}$ of a tricomplex number $\eta = \zeta_1 + \zeta_2\mathbf{i_3}$ as

$$\|\eta\|_3 := \sqrt{\|\zeta_1\|_2^2 + \|\zeta_2\|_2^2} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{2}|z_i|^2 + \sum_{i=3}^{4}|z_i|^2} \tag{13}$$
$$= \sqrt{\sum_{i=0}^{7} x_i^2}.$$

According to the Euclidean norm (13), we say that a sequence $\{s_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ of tricomplex numbers is bounded if and only if there exists a real number M such that $\|s_m\|_3 \leq M$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, according to (12), we define two kinds of tricomplex discus:

Definition 1. *Let $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2\mathbf{i_3} \in \mathbb{M}(3)$ and set $r_2 \geq r_1 > 0$.*

1. *The open discus is the set*

$$\mathbf{D_3}(\alpha; r_1, r_2) := \{\eta \in \mathbb{M}(3) \,|\, \eta = \zeta_1\gamma_2 + \zeta_2\overline{\gamma}_2,\ \|\zeta_1 - (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2\mathbf{i_2})\|_2 < r_1 \text{ and} \\ \|\zeta_2 - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2\mathbf{i_2})\|_2 < r_2\}. \tag{14}$$

2. *The closed discus is the set*

$$\overline{\mathbf{D_3}}(\alpha; r_1, r_2) := \{\eta \in \mathbb{M}(3) \,|\, \eta = \zeta_1\gamma_2 + \zeta_2\overline{\gamma}_2,\ \|\zeta_1 - (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2\mathbf{i_2})\|_2 \leq r_1 \text{ and} \\ \|\zeta_2 - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2\mathbf{i_2})\|_2 \leq r_2\}. \tag{15}$$

We end this section by several remarks about subsets of $\mathbb{M}(3)$. Let the set $\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{i_k}) := \{\eta = x_0 + x_1\mathbf{i_k} \,|\, x_0, x_1 \in \mathbb{R}\}, \mathbf{i_k} \in \{\mathbf{i_1}, \mathbf{i_2}, \mathbf{i_3}, \mathbf{i_4}\}$. So, $\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{i_k})$ is a subset of $\mathbb{M}(3)$ for $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and we also remark that they are all isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$. Furthermore, the set $\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{j_k}) := \{x_0 + x_1\mathbf{j_k} \,|\, x_0, x_1 \in \mathbb{R}\}$ where $\mathbf{j_k} \in \{\mathbf{j_1}, \mathbf{j_2}, \mathbf{j_3}\}$ is a subset of $\mathbb{M}(3)$ and is isomorphic to the set of hyperbolic numbers $\mathbb{D}$ for $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ (see [12, 13] and [14] for further details about the set $\mathbb{D}$ of hyperbolic numbers). Finally, we recall (see [7] and [6]) another important subset of $\mathbb{M}(3)$ useful in the next section.

Definition 2. *Let* $\mathbf{i_k}, \mathbf{i_l}, \mathbf{i_m} \in \{1, \mathbf{i_1}, \mathbf{i_2}, \mathbf{i_3}, \mathbf{i_4}, \mathbf{j_1}, \mathbf{j_2}, \mathbf{j_3}\}$ *with* $\mathbf{i_k} \neq \mathbf{i_l}$, $\mathbf{i_k} \neq \mathbf{i_m}$ *and* $\mathbf{i_l} \neq \mathbf{i_m}$. *We define a 3D subset of* $\mathbb{M}(3)$ *as*

$$\mathbb{T}(\mathbf{i_m}, \mathbf{i_k}, \mathbf{i_l}) := \{x_1\mathbf{i_k} + x_2\mathbf{i_l} + x_3\mathbf{i_m} \,|\, x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathbb{R}\}\,. \tag{16}$$

2 Tricomplex dynamics

As we mentioned in section 2, the set of complex numbers is isomorphic to the set $\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{i_k})$ where $\mathbf{i_k} \in \{\mathbf{i_1}, \mathbf{i_2}, \mathbf{i_3}, \mathbf{i_4}\}$ and the set of hyperbolic numbers is isomorphic to $\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{j_k})$ where $\mathbf{j_k} \in \{\mathbf{j_1}, \mathbf{j_2}, \mathbf{j_3}\}$. So, we generalize the Multibrot sets, the Hyperbrots sets and the bicomplex Multibrot sets defined in [6] and [5] by defining the Tricomplex Multibrot sets.

Definition 3. *Let* $Q_{p,c}(\eta) = \eta^p + c$ *where* $\eta, c \in \mathbb{M}(3)$ *and* $p \geq 2$ *an integer. The tricomplex Multibrot set is defined as the set*

$$\mathcal{M}_3^p := \left\{c \in \mathbb{M}(3) \,|\, \left\{Q_{p,c}^m(0)\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty} \text{ is bounded } \right\}. \tag{17}$$

Remark 1. *If we restrict the components of the tricomplex number* c *to the subspace* $\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{i_1}) \simeq \mathbb{C}$, *we see that the set* $\mathcal{M}_3^p$ *is equal (in* $\mathbb{R}^2$*) to the Multibrot set* $\mathcal{M}^p$ *as defined in the introduction. Moreover, if we restrict the components of the number* c *to the subspace* $\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{j_1})$, *we see that the set* $\mathcal{M}_3^p$ *is equal (in* $\mathbb{R}^2$*) to the hyperbolic Multibrot set* $\mathcal{H}^p$ *also defined in the introduction. So, for the rest of this article, we identify the* $\mathcal{M}^p$ *and* $\mathcal{H}^p$ *sets with the two following sets:*

$$\mathcal{M}^p = \left\{c = x_0 + x_1\mathbf{i_1} \,|\, \left\{Q_{p,c}^m(0)\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty} \text{ is bounded } \right\}$$
$$\text{and } \mathcal{H}^p = \left\{c = x_0 + x_5\mathbf{j_1} \,|\, \left\{Q_{p,c}^m(0)\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty} \text{ is bounded } \right\}.$$

The Multibrot set $\mathcal{M}^p$ has the following important property (see [6]).

Theorem 2. *A complex number* c *is in* $\mathcal{M}^p$ *if, and only if,* $|Q_{p,c}^m(0)| \leq 2^{1/(p-1)}$ $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Given an integer $p \geq 2$, the set $\mathcal{M}_3^p$ is a closed and connected set, and also it is contained in the closed discus $\overline{\mathbf{D}}(0, 2^{1/(p-1)}, 2^{1/(p-1)})$. In the last part of the article, those information are used to generate the images of several 3D slices. From [6], $\mathcal{M}_3^p$ can be viewed as the tricomplex cartesian product

$$\mathcal{M}_3^p = \mathcal{M}_2^p \times_{\gamma_2} \mathcal{M}_2^p \tag{18}$$

where $\mathcal{M}_2^p$ is the bicomplex Multibrot set. Moreover, the set $\mathcal{M}_3^p$ can be rewritten as the following mixed cartesian product

$$\mathcal{M}_3^p = (\mathcal{M}_1^p \times_{\gamma_1} \mathcal{M}_1^p) \times_{\gamma_2} (\mathcal{M}_1^p \times_{\gamma_1} \mathcal{M}_1^p) \tag{19}$$

where the set $\mathcal{M}_1^p$ is the Multibrot set in the complex plane.

To visualize the tricomplex Multibrot sets, we define a **principal 3D slice** as follows

$$\mathcal{T}^p := \mathcal{T}^p(\mathbf{i_m}, \mathbf{i_k}, \mathbf{i_l}) = \left\{ c \in \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{i_m}, \mathbf{i_k}, \mathbf{i_l}) \mid \left\{ Q_{p,c}^m(0) \right\}_{m=1}^{\infty} \text{ is bounded } \right\}. \quad (20)$$

So the number c has three of its components that are not equal to zero. In total, there are 56 possible combinations of principal 3D slices. To attempt a classification of these slices, we introduce a relation $\sim$ (see [7]).

Definition 4. *Let $\mathcal{T}_1^p(\mathbf{i_m}, \mathbf{i_k}, \mathbf{i_l})$ and $\mathcal{T}_2^p(\mathbf{i_n}, \mathbf{i_q}, \mathbf{i_s})$ be two principal 3D slices of a tricomplex Multibrot set $\mathcal{M}_3^p$ that correspond, respectively, to Q_{p,c_1} and Q_{p,c_2}. Then, $\mathcal{T}_1^p \sim \mathcal{T}_2^p$ if there exists a bijective linear function $\varphi : \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \{1, \mathbf{i_m}, \mathbf{i_k}, \mathbf{i_l}\} \to \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \{1, \mathbf{i_n}, \mathbf{i_q}, \mathbf{i_s}\}$ such that*

$$(\varphi \circ Q_{p,c_1} \circ \varphi^{-1})(\eta) = Q_{p,c_2}(\eta) \ \forall \eta \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \{1, \mathbf{i_n}, \mathbf{i_q}, \mathbf{i_s}\}.$$

In that case, we say that $\mathcal{T}_1^p$ and $\mathcal{T}_2^p$ have the same dynamics.

If two 3D slices are in relationship in term of $\sim$, then we also say that they are symmetrical. This comes from the fact that their visualizations by a computer give the same images. In [10], it is showed that $\sim$ is also an equivalent relation on the set of principal 3D slices of $\mathcal{M}_3^p$.

3 Roots of polynomial and applications

In this section, we show some applications of the locus of the roots of polynomials in complex and hyperbolic dynamics. In addition, we give a generalized 3D version of the Hyperbrot sets and prove that our generalization is an octahedron in the case of the polynomial $Q_{p,c}$ with $p > 2$ an odd integer. We first state some results on the locus of the roots of the polynomial $x^p - x + c$. These results will be useful to prove the Theorem 3 which states that the intersection of $\mathcal{M}^p$ and the real axis is exactly $\left[-\frac{p-1}{p^{p/(p-1)}}, \frac{p-1}{p^{p/(p-1)}} \right]$.

3.1 Behaviour of the roots

Let $R_{p,c}(x) = x^p - x + c$ where $x, c \in \mathbb{R}$. In this part, we study specifically the location of the real roots of $R_{p,c}$. We also let $p > 2$ be an odd integer.

We start by computing $R'_{p,c}(x) = px^{p-1} - 1$ where $p - 1$ is even. Letting $R'_{p,c}(x) = 0$ gives the following real critical points of $R_{p,c}(x)$

$$w_1 = -\frac{1}{p^{1/(p-1)}} \text{ and } w_2 = \frac{1}{p^{1/(p-1)}}. \quad (21)$$

Moreover, $R'_{p,c}(x) > 0$ when $|x| > \frac{1}{p^{1/(p-1)}}$ and $R'_{p,c}(x) < 0$ when $|x| < \frac{1}{p^{1/(p-1)}}$.

The values taken by $R_{p,c}(x)$ at the critical points are

$$R_{p,c}(w_1) = \left(\frac{p-1}{p^{p/(p-1)}}\right) + c, \tag{22}$$

$$R_{p,c}(w_2) = -\left(\frac{p-1}{p^{p/(p-1)}}\right) + c. \tag{23}$$

Furthermore, $R''_{p,c}(x) = p(p-1)x^{p-2}$ with $p-2 \geq 1$ an odd integer. Then, we have that

$$R''_{p,c}(x) < 0 \text{ and } R''_{p,c}(x) > 0$$

for $x < 0$ and $x > 0$ respectively, and so

$$R''_{p,c}(w_1) < 0 \text{ and } R''_{p,c}(w_2) > 0.$$

Thus, $R_{p,c}(x)$ is increasing on $(-\infty, w_1) \cup (w_2, +\infty)$ and decreasing on (w_1, w_2). $R_{p,c}(x)$ has a local maximum at w_1 given by (22) and has a local minimum at w_2 given by (23). Following that behavior study of $R_{p,c}(x)$, we give a first lemma that characterize the roots for $|c| < \frac{p-1}{p^{p/(p-1)}}$.

Lemma 1. *Let $p > 2$ be an odd integer. If $c \in \left(-\frac{p-1}{p^{p/(p-1)}},\ \frac{p-1}{p^{p/(p-1)}}\right)$ then $R_{p,c}$ has three distinct real roots a_1, a_2 and a_3 such that*

$$a_1 \in (-\infty,\ w_1)\,,\ a_2 \in (w_1,\ w_2) \ \text{ and } a_3 \in (w_2,\ +\infty)\,.$$

Proof. Since $R_{p,c}$ is continuous and $\lim_{x\to-\infty} R_{p,c}(x) = -\infty$, $R_{p,c}(w_1) > 0$, $R_{p,c}(w_2) < 0$ and $\lim_{x\to+\infty} R_{p,c}(x) = \infty$ for $c \in \left(-\frac{p-1}{p^{p/(p-1)}},\ \frac{p-1}{p^{p/(p-1)}}\right)$, there exist three distinct zeros a_1, a_2 and a_3 situated as desired. □

Remark 2. *Suppose that $|c| < \frac{p-1}{p^{p/(p-1)}}$. In that case, since $R_{p,c}$ is monotonic, we obtain that*

$$R_{p,c}(x) > 0 \quad \forall x \in (a_1, w_1) \tag{24}$$

$$\text{and } R_{p,c}(x) < 0 \quad \forall x \in (w_2, a_3)\,. \tag{25}$$

Therefore, a_1, a_2 and a_3 are uniquely determined.

We can get a better approximation of the roots a_1 and a_3 depending on the sign of the number c. The next lemma encapsulates this new approximations.

Lemma 2. *Let $R_{p,c}(x) = x^p - x + c$ where $x, c \in \mathbb{R}$ and p is an odd integer greater than 2. Then*

1. *if $c = 0$, then $a_1 = -1$ and $a_3 = 1$;*
2. *if $c \in \left(-\frac{p-1}{p^{p/(p-1)}}, 0\right)$, then $a_1 \in (-1, w_1)$;*

3. *if* $c \in \left(0, \frac{p-1}{p^{p/(p-1)}}\right)$, *then* $a_3 \in (w_2, 1)$.

Proof. From Lemma 1, we know that $R_{p,c}(x)$ has three distinct real roots a_1, a_2 and a_3. Let consider the special case where $c = 0$. In this case, we have that $R_{p,c}(x) = x^p - x = x(x^{p-1} - 1)$ which implies that $R_{p,c}(x) = 0$ if and only if $a_1 = -1$, $a_2 = 0$ and $a_3 = 1$. For the second case, suppose by contradiction that $a_1 \leq -1$ for a certain $c \in \left(-\frac{p-1}{p^{p/(p-1)}}, 0\right)$. If $a_1 = -1$, then we have that

$$a_1^p - a_1 + c = R_{p,c}(a_1) = 0 = R_{p,0}(a_1) = a_1^p - a_1$$

which implies that $c = 0$. This is a contradiction. Suppose now that $a_1 < -1$. Since $c < 0$, we see that $R_{p,c}(x) = x^p - x + c < x^p - x = R_{p,0}(x)$ $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$. In particular, this inequality holds on the interval $[a_1, w_1]$. So, from (24), we obtain that $R_{p,0}(x) > 0$ on the interval $[a_1, w_1]$. In particular, $R_{p,0}(-1) > 0$ since $a_1 < -1 < w_1$. However, $R_{p,0}(-1) = 0$ from the first part, this is a contradiction. Thus, we have that $a_1 > -1$.

The third case is handled similarly as the second one by supposing that $a_3 \geq 1$ which leads to a contradiction. □

The next lemma explains what is happening at the boundary of the interval $\left[-\frac{p-1}{p^{p/(p-1)}}, \frac{p-1}{p^{p/(p-1)}}\right]$.

Lemma 3. *Let* $R_{p,c}(x) = x^p - x + c$ *where* $x, c \in \mathbb{R}$ *and* p *is an odd integer greater than 2. Then*

1. *if* $c = -\frac{p-1}{p^{p/(p-1)}}$, *then* $R_{p,c}$ *has two real roots* w_1 *and* a_3 *where* w_1 *has multiplicity 2 and* $a_3 \in (w_2, +\infty)$;
2. *if* $c = \frac{p-1}{p^{p/(p-1)}}$, *then* $R_{p,c}$ *has two real roots* w_2 *and* a_1 *where* w_2 *has multiplicity 2 and* $a_1 \in (-\infty, w_1)$.

Proof. We know that

$$R_{p,c}(w_1) = \frac{p-1}{p^{p/(p-1)}} + c \text{ and } R_{p,c}(w_2) = -\frac{p-1}{p^{p/(p-1)}} + c.$$

For the first case, if $c = -\frac{p-1}{p^{p/(p-1)}}$, then $R_{p,c}(w_1) = 0$ and $R'_{p,c}(w_1) = 0$. Thus, w_1 is a root of multiplicity 2. We conclude that $a_1 = a_2 = w_1$ and $a_3 > w_2$. Thus, $R_{p,c}(x)$ has two real roots.

For the second case, if $c = \frac{p-1}{p^{p/(p-1)}}$, then $R_{p,c}(w_2) = 0$ and $R'_{p,c}(w_2) = 0$. Thus, w_2 is a root of multiplicity 2. We conclude that $a_1 < w_1$ and $a_2 = a_3 = w_2$. Thus, $R_{p,c}(x)$ has two real roots. □

Finally, the next lemma tells us how behave the roots of $R_{p,c}$ when $|c| > \frac{p-1}{p^{p/(p-1)}}$.

Lemma 4. *Let* $R_{p,c}(x) = x^p - x + c$ *where* $x, c \in \mathbb{R}$ *and* p *is an odd integer greater than 2. Then*

1. *if* $c < -\frac{p-1}{p^{p/(p-1)}}$, *then* $R_{p,c}(x)$ *has exactly one positive root* $a_3 > w_2$;

2. *if* $c > \frac{p-1}{p^{p/(p-1)}}$, *then* $R_{p,c}(x)$ *has exactly one negative root* $a_1 < w_1$.

Proof. For the first case, suppose that $c < -\frac{p-1}{p^{p/(p-1)}}$. We easily verify that $R_{p,c}(w_1) < 0$ and $R_{p,c}(w_2) < 0$. Since w_1 is a local maximum and $R_{p,c}(x)$ is increasing on $(-\infty, w_1)$ and is decreasing on the interval (w_1, w_2), we have that $R_{p,c}(x) < 0$ for all $x \in (-\infty, w_2)$. So, there exists only one positive real number $a_3 \in (w_2, +\infty)$ such that $R_{p,c}(a_3) = 0$.

For the second case, suppose that $c > \frac{p-1}{p^{p/(p-1)}}$. We easily verify that $R_{p,c}(w_1) > 0$ and $R_{p,c}(w_2) > 0$. Since w_2 is a local minimum and $R_{p,c}(x)$ is decreasing on the interval (w_1, w_2) and increasing on $(w_2, +\infty)$, we have that $R_{p,c}(x) > 0$ for all $x \in (w_1, +\infty)$. Hence, there exists only one negative real number $a_1 \in (-\infty, w_1)$ such that $R_{p,c}(a_1) = 0$. □

3.2 Real intersection of the Multibrot sets

We first establish the following symmetries of Multibrot sets (see [15] and [16]).

Lemma 5. *Let* $c \in \mathcal{M}^p$ *with* $p \geq 2$ *an integer and* $c = |c|e^{\mathbf{i}\theta_c}$. *Then,* $c_k := |c|e^{\mathbf{i}\left(\theta_c + \frac{2k\pi}{p-1}\right)}$ *is in* $\mathcal{M}^p$ *for any* $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Suppose $c \in \mathcal{M}^p$. We infer by induction that $Q^m_{p,c_k}(0) = e^{\mathbf{i}\frac{2k\pi}{p-1}} Q^m_{p,c}(0)$ $\forall m \geq 1$. Indeed, let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. First, we have that $Q_{p,c_k}(0) = c_k = |c|e^{\mathbf{i}\left(\theta_c + \frac{2k\pi}{p-1}\right)} = e^{\mathbf{i}\frac{2k\pi}{p-1}} c$. Now, suppose that the assumption is true for an integer $m \geq 1$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} Q^{m+1}_{p,c_k}(0) &= \left(Q^m_{p,c_k}(0)\right)^p + c_k \\ &= e^{\mathbf{i}\left(\frac{2kp\pi}{p-1}\right)} \left(Q^m_{p,c}(0)\right)^p + e^{\mathbf{i}\frac{2k\pi}{p-1}} c \\ &= e^{\mathbf{i}2k\pi} e^{\mathbf{i}\frac{2k\pi}{p-1}} \left(Q^m_{p,c}(0)\right)^p + e^{\mathbf{i}\frac{2k\pi}{p-1}} c \\ &= e^{\mathbf{i}\frac{2k\pi}{p-1}} Q^{m+1}_{p,c}(0). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by Theorem 2, $|Q^m_{p,c}(0)| \leq 2^{1/(p-1)}$ $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, since $|Q^m_{p,c_k}(0)| = |Q^m_{p,c}(0)|$ $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$, $c_k \in \mathcal{M}^p$. □

Remark 3. *We remark that for any* $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, *we have* $k = n(p-1) + t$ *where* $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ *and* $t \in \{0, 1, \ldots, p-2\}$. *Hence, we see that* $e^{\mathbf{i}\left(\theta_c + \frac{2k\pi}{p-1}\right)} = e^{\mathbf{i}\left(\theta_c + \frac{2t\pi}{p-1}\right)}$ *and there is a cycle of length* $p-1$. *This shows that there is a group of* $p-1$ *rotations about the origin attached to the set* $\mathcal{M}^p$.

We can state the following theorem concerning the set $\mathbb{R} \cap \mathcal{M}^p$ for odd integers greater than two.

Theorem 3. *Let* p *be an odd integer greater than 2. The set* $\mathcal{M}^p$ *crosses the real axis on the interval* $\left[-\frac{(p-1)}{p^{p/(p-1)}}, \frac{(p-1)}{p^{p/(p-1)}}\right]$.



(a) $\mathcal{M}^3$ (b) $\mathcal{M}^5$ (c) $\mathcal{M}^7$ (d) $\mathcal{M}^9$

Figure 1: Multibrots for several odd integers, $-1.5 \leq \mathrm{Re}(c), \mathrm{Im}(c) \leq 1.5$

Proof. By the Lemma 5 and the Remark 3, if we let $t = \frac{p-1}{2}$, we see that if $c \in \mathcal{M}^p$, then $-c \in \mathcal{M}^p$. So, we can restrict our proof to the interval $\left[0, \frac{p-1}{p^{p/(p-1)}}\right]$. Let $R_{p,c}(x) = x^p - x + c$ where $x, c \in \mathbb{R}$. We start by showing that no point $c > \frac{(p-1)}{p^{p/(p-1)}}$ lies in $\mathcal{M}^p$. In this case, from Lemma 4, $R_{p,c}$ has exactly one real root $a_1 < 0$. Suppose that $c \in \mathcal{M}^p$, *i.e.* $\left\{Q^m_{p,c}(0)\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded. Then, Lemma 3 in [6] implies that $\left\{Q^m_{p,c}(0)\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is strictly ascendant and it converges to $c_0 > 0$. Since $Q_{p,c}(0)$ is a polynomial function, we have that

$$c_0 = \lim_{m\to\infty} Q^{m+1}_{p,c}(0) \tag{26}$$

$$= Q_{p,c}\left(\lim_{m\to\infty} Q^m_{p,c}(0)\right) \tag{27}$$

$$= Q_{p,c}(c_0). \tag{28}$$

Thus, c_0 is a real root of $R_{p,c}$ and from Lemma 4 we have $c_0 = a_1$. However, since $c_0 > 0$, this is a contradiction with $a_1 < 0$. Thus, $c \notin \mathcal{M}^p$.

Next, we show that for $0 \leq c \leq \frac{p-1}{p^{p/(p-1)}}$, we have $c \in \mathcal{M}^p$. Obviously, $c = 0$ is in $\mathcal{M}^p$. Suppose that $0 < c \leq \frac{p-1}{p^{p/(p-1)}}$. In this case, from Lemmas 2 and 3, $R_{p,c}(x)$ has a real positive root $a_3 \in [w_2, 1)$. We prove by induction that $|Q^m_{p,c}(0)| < a_3 \ \forall m \in \mathbb{N}$. For $m = 1$, we have that $|Q_{p,c}(0)| = |c| < a_3$ because $|c| < w_2 \leq a_3$. Now, suppose that $|Q^k_{p,c}(0)| < a_3$ for a $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, since $R_{p,c}(a_3) = a_3^p - a_3 + c = 0$ and $c > 0$,

$$|Q^{k+1}_{p,c}(0)| = |(Q^k_{p,c}(0))^p + c| \leq |(Q^k_{p,c}(0))|^p + |c| < a_3^p + c = a_3.$$

Thus, the proposition is true for $k+1$ and $|Q^m_{p,c}(0)| < a_3 \ \forall m \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $a_3 < 1 < 2^{1/(p-1)}$, then by the Theorem 2 we have $c \in \mathcal{M}^p$.

In conclusion, $\mathcal{M}^p \cap \mathbb{R}_+ = \left[0, \frac{(p-1)}{p^{p/(p-1)}}\right]$. □

3.3 Characterization of the Hyperbrots

In 1990, Senn [17] generated the Mandelbrot set using the hyperbolic numbers. Instead of obtaining a fractal structure, the set obtained seemed to be a square. Four years later, in [18], Metzler proved that $\mathcal{H}^2$ is precisely a square with



(a) $\mathcal{H}^3$ (b) $\mathcal{H}^5$ (c) $\mathcal{H}^7$ (d) $\mathcal{H}^9$ (e) $\mathcal{H}^{11}$ (f) $\mathcal{H}^{13}$

Figure 2: Hyperbrots for several odd integers, $-1 \leq \mathrm{Re}(c), \mathrm{Pe}(c) \leq 1$. The black color represents the Hyperbrot.

diagonal length $2\frac{1}{4}$ and of side length $\frac{9}{8}\sqrt{2}$. It was also proved in [6] that $\mathcal{H}^3$ is a square with diagonal length $\frac{4}{3\sqrt{3}}$ and with side length $\frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}\sqrt{2}$. In this subsection, we generalized their results for odd integers greater than two.

According to the tools introduce in [6] and Theorem 3, we have the following result.

Theorem 4. *Let $p > 2$ be an odd integer. Then, the Hyperbrot of order p is characterized as*

$$\mathcal{H}^p = \left\{ x + y\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{D} \,:\, |x| + |y| \leq \frac{p-1}{p^{p/(p-1)}} \right\}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 7 in [6] and the remark right after, $\left\{\mathbf{H}^m_{p,c}(\mathbf{0})\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded iff the real sequences $\left\{Q^m_{p,x-y}(0)\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{Q^m_{p,x+y}(0)\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ are bounded. However, according to Theorem 3, these sequences are bounded iff

$$|x-y| \leq \frac{p-1}{p^{p/(p-1)}} \text{ and } |x+y| \leq \frac{p-1}{p^{p/(p-1)}}. \tag{29}$$

Then, by a simple computation we obtain that $|x|+|y| \leq \frac{p-1}{p^{p/(p-1)}}$. Conversely, if $|x|+|y| \leq \frac{p-1}{p^{p/(p-1)}}$ is true, then by the properties of the absolute value, we obtain directly the inequalities in (29). Thus, we obtain the desired characterization for $\mathcal{H}^p$. □

We see that the images in figure 2 represent faithfully Theorem 4. Moreover, we remark that the squares are growing as p tends to infinity. Does this process stop or goes *ad infinitum*?

To answer this question, let $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be the collection of non-empty compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^2$ and defined the distance between A and B in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} d(A,B) &:= \max_{x\in A}\{d(x,B)\} \\ &= \max_{x\in A}\left\{\min_{y\in B}\{\|x-y\|\}\right\} \end{aligned}$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is the Euclidian distance on $\mathbb{R}^2$. With these definitions from [19], we have the following theorem.

Theorem 5. *Let $(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2), h)$ be the so-called **Fractals metric space** on $\mathbb{R}^2$ where $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is the collection of all non-empty compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^2$ and $h : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2) \times \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2) \to [0, +\infty)$ is the Hausdorff distance on the collection $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ defined as $h(A, B) = \max\{d(A, B), d(B, A)\}$. Let $\mathcal{H} := \{x + y\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{D} : |x| + |y| \leq 1\}$. Then,*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} h(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}^{2n+1}) = 0.$$

Proof. Let $p > 2$ be an odd integer and let $m_p := \frac{p-1}{p^{p/(p-1)}}$. From Theorem 4, we see that $\mathcal{H}^p \subset \mathcal{H}$ since $m_p < 1 \ \forall p > 2$. Then, $d(\mathcal{H}^p, \mathcal{H}) = 0$. So, we just have to calculate $d(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}^p)$.

Suppose that $c = a + b\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{D}$. Let $z = x + y\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{H}^p$ and define the function $f(\cdot, c) : \mathcal{H}^p \to [0, \infty)$ by

$$f(z, c) := \|c - z\|^2.$$

Obviously, if $c \in \mathcal{H}^p$, then $f(c, c) = 0$ is the minimum on $\mathcal{H}^p$. Moreover, if $c \in \mathcal{H} - \mathcal{H}^p$, the minimum must be on $\partial\mathcal{H}^p = \{x + y\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{D} : |x| + |y| = m_p\}$. Then, let define the function $g : \mathcal{H} - \mathcal{H}^p \to [0, +\infty)$ by

$$g(c) := \min_{z\in\partial\mathcal{H}^p} \{f(z, c)\}.$$

Geometrically, the maximum of $g(c)$ occurs at the points $c_1 = (1, 0)$, $c_2 = (0, 1)$, $c_3 = (-1, 0)$ and $c_4 = (0, -1)$ and the values are $g_{max} := g(c_k) = (1 - m_p)^2$ for $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Thus, $h(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}^p) = \sqrt{g_{max}} = (1 - m_p)$. Finally, since $\lim_{n\to\infty} m_{2n+1} = 1$, then we obtain

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} h(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}^{2n+1}) = 0.$$

□

3.4 Characterization of the generalized Perplexbrot

In this subsection, we generalize Hyperbrots in three dimensions. Let's adopt the same notation as in [7] and [6] for the generalized *Perplexbrot*

$$\mathcal{P}^p := \mathcal{T}^p(1, \mathbf{j_1}, \mathbf{j_2}) = \{c = c_0 + c_5\mathbf{j_1} + c_6\mathbf{j_2} \ : \ c_i \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \left\{Q^m_{p,c}(0)\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty} \text{ is bounded}\Big\}. \tag{30}$$

Before proving this result, we need this following lemma.

Lemma 6. *We have the following characterization of the generalized Perplexbrot*

$$\mathcal{P}^p = \bigcup_{y\in[-m_p, m_p]} \{[(\mathcal{H}^p - y\mathbf{j_1}) \cap (\mathcal{H}^p + y\mathbf{j_1})] + y\mathbf{j_2}\}$$

where $\mathcal{H}^p$ is the Hyperbrot for an odd integer $p > 2$ and $m_p := \frac{(p-1)}{p^{p/(p-1)}}$.

Proof. By Definition of $\mathcal{P}^p$ and the idempotent representation, we have that

$$\mathcal{P}^p = \left\{ c = (d - c_6\mathbf{j_1})\,\gamma_2 + (d + c_6\mathbf{j_1})\,\overline{\gamma}_2 \,:\, \left\{Q^m_{p,c}(0)\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty} \text{ is bounded} \right\} \quad (31)$$

where $d = c_0 + c_5\mathbf{j_1} \in \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{j_1})$. Furthermore, the sequence $\left\{Q^m_{p,c}(0)\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded iff the two sequences $\left\{Q^m_{p,d-c_6\mathbf{j_1}}(0)\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{Q^m_{p,d+c_6\mathbf{j_1}}(0)\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ are bounded. To continue, we make the following remark about hyperbolic dynamics: $\forall z \in \mathbb{D}$

$$\mathcal{H}^p - z := \left\{ c \in \mathbb{D} \,|\, \left\{Q^m_{p,c+z}(0)\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty} \text{ is bounded } \right\}. \quad (32)$$

By Definition 3, $\left\{Q^m_{p,d-c_6\mathbf{j_1}}(0)\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{Q^m_{p,d+c_6\mathbf{j_1}}(0)\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ are bounded iff $d - c_6\mathbf{j_1}, d + c_6\mathbf{j_1} \in \mathcal{H}^p$. Therefore, by (32), we also have that $d - c_6\mathbf{j_1}, d + c_6\mathbf{j_1} \in \mathcal{H}^p$ iff $d \in (\mathcal{H}^p - c_6\mathbf{j_1}) \cap (\mathcal{H}^p + c_6\mathbf{j_1})$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}^p &= \{c = c_0 + c_5\mathbf{j_1} + c_6\mathbf{j_2} \,|\, c_0 + c_5\mathbf{j_1} \in (\mathcal{H}^p - c_6\mathbf{j_1}) \cap (\mathcal{H}^p + c_6\mathbf{j_1})\} \\ &= \bigcup_{y\in\mathbb{R}} \{[(\mathcal{H}^p - y\mathbf{j_1}) \cap (\mathcal{H}^p + y\mathbf{j_1})] + y\mathbf{j_2}\}.\end{aligned}$$

In fact, by Theorem 4,

$$(\mathcal{H}^p - y\mathbf{j_1}) \cap (\mathcal{H}^p + y\mathbf{j_1}) = \emptyset \quad (33)$$

whenever $y \in \left[-\frac{p-1}{p^{p/(p-1)}}, \frac{p-1}{p^{p/(p-1)}}\right]^c$. This conduct us to the desire characterization of the generalized Perplexbrot. □

As a consequence of Lemma 6 and Theorem 4, we have the following corollary illustrated by figure 3:

Corollary 1. *$\mathcal{P}^p$ is a regular octahedron of edges $\sqrt{2}\frac{(p-1)}{p^{p/(p-1)}}$ where $p > 2$ is an odd integer. Moreover, the generalized Perplexbrot can be rewriting as the set*

$$\mathcal{P}^p = \left\{ x + y\mathbf{j_1} + z\mathbf{j_2} \,:\, (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \text{ and } |x| + |y| + |z| \leq m_p \right\}. \quad (34)$$

Similarly to what was done for Hyperbrot sets, we prove that as $p \to \infty$, the sequence of generalized Perplexbrots converges to a non-empty compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^3$.

Theorem 6. *Let $(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3), h)$ be the so-called **Fractals metric space** on $\mathbb{R}^3$ where $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is the collection of all non-empty compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^3$ and $h : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3) \times \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3) \to [0, +\infty)$ is the Hausdorff distance on the collection $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ defined as $h(A, B) = \max\{d(A, B), d(B, A)\}$. Let*

$$\mathcal{P} := \left\{ x + y\mathbf{j_1} + z\mathbf{j_2} \,:\, (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \text{ and } |x| + |y| + |z| \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Then,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} h(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}^{2n+1}) = 0.$$



(a) $\mathcal{P}^3$ (b) $\mathcal{P}^5$ (c) $\mathcal{P}^9$ (d) $\mathcal{P}^{13}$

Figure 3: Hyperbrots for several odd integers, $-1 \leq x, y, z \leq 1$

Proof. Let $p > 2$ be an odd integer and let $m_p = \frac{p-1}{p^{p/(p-1)}}$. Using elementary calculus and by referring the reader to the proof of the Theorem 5 for the two dimensional case, we find that $h(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}^p) = (1 - m_p)$ where the maximum occurs at the corners of the set $\mathcal{P}$. Hence,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} h(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}^{2n+1}) = 1 - 1 = 0$$

since $\lim_{n\to\infty} m_{2n+1} = 1$. □

Conclusion

In this work, we treated Multibrot sets for a specific polynomial of odd degree. We saw that complex and hyperbolic dynamical systems can be treated entirely with the tricomplex space. We also saw that complex and hyperbolic dynamical systems generated by the polynomial $Q_{p,c}$ for odd integers are really different: the first one gives irregular shapes and the second one gives regular shapes as squares. Moreover, the generalize 3D versions of the Hyperbrot sets are regular octahedron.

For the case of complex *Multibrot* sets, it would be grateful if we can grade-up the proof of Theorem 3 for all Multibrots of even powers. For this, we need to find a specific approach to prove the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1. *Let $\mathcal{M}^p$ be the generalized Mandelbrot set for the polynomial $Q_{p,c}(z) = z^p + c$ where $z, c \in \mathbb{C}$ and $p \geq 2$ an integer. If p is even, then*

$$\mathcal{M}^p \cap \mathbb{R} = \left[-2^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, (p-1)p^{\frac{-p}{p-1}}\right] \tag{35}$$

This result would conducts us to another conjecture about the Hyperbrots.

Conjecture 2. *The Hyperbrots are squares and the following characterization of Hyperbrots for even powers $p \geq 2$ holds:*

$$\mathcal{H}^p = \left\{x + y\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{D} \,:\, |x - t_p| + |y| \leq \frac{l_p}{2}\right\} \tag{36}$$

where

$$t_p := \frac{(1-(2p)^{1/(p-1)})p-1}{2p(p^{1/(p-1)})} \quad \textit{and} \quad l_p := \frac{((2p)^{1/(p-1)}+1)p-1}{p(p^{1/(p-1)})}.$$

Further explorations of 3D slices of the tricomplex Multibrot sets are also planned.

Acknowledgement

DR is grateful to the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) for financial support. POP would also like to thank the NSERC for the award of a Summer undergraduate Research grant.

References

[1] D. Rochon, A Generalized Mandelbrot Set for Bicomplex Numbers, *Fractals* **8**(4) (2000) 355-368.

[2] D. Rochon, On a Generalized Fatou-Julia Theorem, *Fractals* **11**(3) (2003) 213-219.

[3] U. G. Gujar and V. C. Bhavsar, Fractals from $z \leftarrow z^{\alpha} + c$ in The Complex c-Plane, *Computer Graph.* **15**(3) (1991) 441-449.

[4] T. V. Papathomas and B. Julesz, Animation with Fractals From Variations on The Mandelbrot Set, *Visual Comput.* **3**(1) (1987) 23-26.

[5] X.-Y. Wang and W.-J. Song, The Genralized M-J Sets for Bicomplex Numbers, *Nonlinear Dyn.* **72**(1-2) (2013) 17-26.

[6] P.-O. Parisé and D. Rochon, A Study of The Dynamics of the Tricomplex Polynomial $\eta^p + c$, *Non Linear Dynam.* **82**(1) (2015) 157-171.

[7] V. Garant-Pelletier and D. Rochon, On a Generalized Fatou-Julia Theorem in Multicomplex spaces, *Fractals* **17**(3) (2009) 241-255.

[8] G. B. Price, *An Introduction to Multicomplex Spaces and Functions*, 1st ed. (Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 1991).

[9] V. Garant-Pelletier, *Ensembles de Mandelbrot et de Julia classiques, généralisés aux espaces multicomplexes et théorème de Fatou-Julia généralisé*, Master Thesis, Trois-Rivières, Canada (2011).

[10] P.-O. Parisé, *Les ensembles de Mandelbrots tricomplexes généralisés aux polynômes $\zeta^p + c$*, Master Thesis, Trois-Rivières, Canada (to appear).

[11] A. Vajiac and M. B. Vajiac, Multicomplex Hyperfunctions, *Complex Var. and Elliptic Eqn.* **57** (2012) 751-762.

[12] D. Rochon and M. Shapiro, On algebraic properties of bicomplex and hyperbolic numbers, *Anal. Univ. Oradea, fasc. math.* **11** (2009) 71-110.

[13] M. Shapiro, D. C. Struppa, A. Vajiac, M. B. Vajiac, Hyperbolic Numbers and their Functions, *Anal. Univ. Oradea* **XIX**(1) (2012) 265-283.

[14] G. Sobczyk, The Hyperbolic Number Plane, *College Math. J.* **26**(4) (1995) 268-280.

[15] E. Lau and D. Schleicher, Symmetries of Fractals Revisited, *Math. Intelligencer* **15**(1) (1991) 441-449.

[16] X. Sheng and M. J. Spurr, Symmetries of Fractals, *Math. Intell.* **18**(1) (1996) 35-42.

[17] P. Senn, The Mandelbrot Set for Binary Numbers, *Am. J. Phys.* **58**(10) (1990) 1018.

[18] W. Metzler, The "mystery" of the quadratic Mandelbrot set, *Am. J. Phys.* **62**(9) (1994) 813-814.

[19] M. F. Barnsley, *Fractals Everywhere* (Academic Press Inc., United Kingdom, 1988).